



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

エの

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	D INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/556,127	04/20/00	KURANE		R	0163-0758-0X
C 022850 OBLON SPIVAH	< MCCLELLAN	HM12/0123 D MAIER & NEUS	TADT		PAPER NUMBER
FOURTH FLOOF 1755 JEFFER! ARLINGTON V	SON DAVIS H	IGHWAY		1655 DATE MAILED	€ 01/23/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Office Action Summary

ication No. Applie 09/556,127

Kurane et al

Examiner

Jeffrey Fredman

Group Art Unit 1655



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowance excep	pt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
in accordance with the practice differ. In Accordance	anath(a) or thirty days, whichever
to this action is	set to expire <u>one</u> month(s), or thirty days, whichever silure to respond within the period for response will cause the stensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes. Attachment(s)	is approved disapproved. niner. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). copies of the priority documents have been erial Number) from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	v, PTO-948
SEE OFFICE AC	CTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/556,127

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 12-14, 19, 20, 22, and 27-32, drawn to a method for measuring nucleic acids, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 2-11, 15, 21, and 23-26, drawn to nucleic acid probe, classified in class 536, subclass 24.3.
 - III. Claims 16-18, and 33-45, drawn to computer methods of DNA analysis, classified in class 702, subclass 20.
- 2. Inventions in Group II and Groups I and III are related as product and process of use.

 The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the nucleic acid probe of Group II could be used in the analysis method of Group I, in the computer methods of Group II, in fluorescence in situ hybridization methods, in chromosome karyotyping methods, or in DNA purification methods.
- 3. Inventions in Group III and in Group I are related as combination and subcombination.

 Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the

Application/Control Number: 09/556,127

Art Unit: 1655

subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because claim 16 relies solely on the data generated by claim 1 and does not rely upon any of the methodologic steps. That is, the patentability of the data analysis method cannot rest upon the reliance upon claim 1 since claim 1 simply provides data, which is does not import a limitation from claim, and therefore, the patentability must rest upon the actual method steps of claim 16. The subcombination has separate utility such as shown by the fact that it is separately claimed.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Daniel Perreira on October 19, 2000, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

Application/Control Number: 09/556,127

Art Unit: 1655

Page 4

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Jeff Fredman, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-6568.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by

facsimile transmission via the P.T.O. Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The CM1 Fax Center

numbers for Technology Center 1600 are either (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242. Please note

that the faxing of such papers must conform with the Notice to Comply published in the Official

Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1655

January 22, 2001